

**REMARKS**

Applicants have carefully considered the Examiner's comments in the Office Action dated February 6, 2007. Claims 1 through 26 are pending in the application. Claims 1-22 and 24-26 stand rejected. Independent claims 1, 8, 16, 21 and 24 have been amended. Claims 7 and 23 are canceled. Applicants assert that no new matter has been added to the present application and that all amended and new claims are supported in the specification. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration by the Examiner.

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter in Claim 23. Independent Claims 1, 8, 16, and 21 have been amended to include this limitation and Claim 24 has been amended to further highlight this limitation. It is respectfully asserted that all of the claims remaining in the application are now in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request allowance of the patently distinct claims.

In the Office Action, claims 1, 2, 7, 14 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Morrison et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,933,655. Applicants respectfully traverse.

Independent Claims 1, 8, 16, 21 and 24 have been amended to include the limitations of dependent claims 7 and 23. Claim 7 is directed to a device which requires a receiver receiving a wireless signal and an electrical request signal generated by the receiver corresponding to the wireless signal. Claim 23 is directed to the method which requires receiving a request signal, wherein transmitting the wireless signal in response to receiving the request signal. The subject matter of dependent claim 7, directed to a device, has been indicated as allowable with respect to the method claimed in dependent Claim 23. It is respectfully asserted that Morrison fails to teach or suggest the device of Claims 1, 8, 16 and 21 which require a receiver receiving a wireless signal and an electrical request signal wherein transmitting the wireless signal is in response to receiving the request signal. Morrison fails to teach or suggest a request signal and transmitting the wireless signal in response to receiving the request signal.

10/605,281

8

81084426

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 be withdrawn as Morrison fails to teach or suggest each and every limitation of the independent claims.

The Examiner rejected claims 3-6, 13, 16-22, and 26 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morrison in view of Stevenson et al. (7,002,457), hereinafter, Stevenson. The Examiner also rejected claims 8-12, 14 and 15 as being unpatentable in further view of Gupta. The allowable subject matter identified in Claim 23 has been added to all of the independent claims, namely Claims 1, 8, 16, 21 and 24.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submit that claims 1-6, 8-22 and 24-26 are allowable and in proper condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance indicating the same is therefore earnestly solicited.

Should any unresolved matters remain, it is respectfully requested the Examiner contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at (248) 223-9500.

Please charge any fees required in the filing of this amendment to Deposit Account 06-1510.

Respectfully Submitted,

ARTZ & ARTZ, P.C.

  
Angela M. Brunetti  
Reg. No. 41,647  
28333 Telegraph Road, Suite 250  
Southfield, MI 48034  
(248) 223-9500

Dated: May 3, 2007